

VU Research Portal

Divine Simplicity in Christianity and Islam: Al-Ghazali, Ibn Rushd, Thomas, and Calvin on the Essence and Attributes of God/Allah

Tan, P.S.

2016

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Tan, P. S. (2016). *Divine Simplicity in Christianity and Islam: Al-Ghazali, Ibn Rushd, Thomas, and Calvin on the Essence and Attributes of God/Allah*. [PhD-Thesis – Research external, graduation internal, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam].

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	v
1 Introduction	
1.1 Preliminary: Research Motivation	1
1.2 Research Goal and Question	2
1.2.1 Why al-Ghazālī and Averroës/Ibn Rushd	3
1.2.2 Why Thomas and Calvin	4
1.3 Methodology	6
1.4 Outline of the Inquiry	7
2 The Doctrine of Divine Unity (<i>Al-Tawḥīd</i>) in al-Ghazālī’s <i>Tahāfut al-falāsifa</i> and His Exposition of the Unity of Allāh As Found in <i>Al-Iqtīṣād fī’l-i’tiqād</i>	
2.1 Introduction to al-Ghazālī	9
2.1.1 Al-Ghazālī’s Doctrine of Divine Unity and its Eleventh-century Context	9
2.1.2 Al-Ghazālī’s Doctrine of Divine Unity and the Historic Monotheism Tradition	13
2.1.3 Textual Considerations	24
2.1.4 Preliminary Methodology: An Outline of What Follows	24
2.2 Discourse on the Doctrine of Divine Unity by al-Ghazālī: The Nature of <i>Al-Tawḥīd</i>—Allāh is the Wholly Unique and Unknowable One	25
2.2.1 Preliminary Remarks	25
2.2.2 The Nature of <i>Al-Tawḥīd</i> — “Uniqueness,” “Completeness,” and “Unknowability”	25
2.2.3 Solution: The Relation between Divine Essence/ <i>adh-Dhāt</i> and Divine Attributes/ <i>Ṣifāt</i>	28
2.2.4 Conclusion Concerning the Nature of Divine Unity	35
2.3 Theological Issues in Analysing al-Ghazālī’s Doctrine of Divine Unity	36
2.3.1 Introduction	36
2.3.2 The Divine Unity in relation to Transcendence: the Unknowable Allāh and Allāh’s Creation	37
2.3.3 The Divine Unity in relation to Divine Names and Divine Attributes	40
2.3.4 The Divine Unity in relation to the Uncreated Qur’ān	46
2.4 Conclusion: Al-Ghazālī’s Doctrine of Divine Unity in Comparative Studies	47
3 The Doctrine of Divine Unity (<i>Al-Tawḥīd</i>) in the Thought of Ibn Rushd, especially on his <i>Tahāfut al-Tahāfut</i> and some passages from Ibn Rushd’s Commentary on Aristotle’s <i>Metaphysics</i>, Book XII (<i>Lām</i>)	
3.1 An Overview	49

3.2	Introduction to Ibn Rushd	50
3.2.1	Setting the Stage: the Muslim Spanish (Andalusian) Philosopher in the Context of the Twelfth Century	50
3.2.2	Textual Considerations	53
3.2.3	Preliminary Methodology: An Outline of What Follows	54
3.3	Analysing Ibn Rushd's Major Doctrinal Theme: the Doctrine of Divine Unity	55
3.3.1	Ibn Rushd's Theological Terminology of the Divine Simplicity	56
3.3.2	Ibn Rushd's Doctrine of Divine Simplicity and the Historic Monotheism Tradition	60
3.4	Discourse on the Doctrine of Divine Unity by Ibn Rushd in his <i>Tahāfut al-Tahāfut</i>	
3.4.1	The Sixth Discussion of Ibn Rushd's <i>Tahāfut al-Tahāfut</i> : the Compatibility between Divine Attributes and Divine Simplicity	64
3.4.2	The Eighth Discussion of Ibn Rushd's <i>Tahāfut al-Tahāfut</i> : the Compatibility between Divine Simplicity and His Existence	67
3.5	Discourse on the Doctrine of Divine Unity by Ibn Rushd in his Commentary on the <i>Metaphysics</i> (in Book XII)	71
3.5.1	The Problems	75
3.5.2	Existence and Essence	76
3.5.3	The First Immovable Mover	78
3.5.4	The First Intellect	81
3.5.5	The First Principle	83
3.6	Some Concluding Remarks: Ibn Rushd's Doctrine of Divine Unity in Comparative Studies	84
4	Thomas Aquinas on Divine <i>Simplicitas</i> in relation to God's <i>Attributa and Personae</i> (in <i>Summa Contra Gentiles</i>)	
4.1	Introduction	86
4.1.1	An Outline of the Inquiry: Thomas on the Doctrine of Divine Simplicity	86
4.1.2	Analysis of Thomas' <i>Summa Contra Gentiles</i>	89
4.2	The Simplicity and the Attributes of God	94
4.2.1	Preliminary Remarks	94
4.2.2	An Analysis of Thomas' <i>SCG</i> on the Simplicity and the Attributes of God	95
A.	<i>SCG</i> .I.cc.31-32, 35: The Compatibility between Divine Attributes and Divine Simplicity	96
B.	<i>SCG</i> .I.cc.18/58: God is without Composition	99
C.	<i>SCG</i> .I.c.26: God is not the Universal Formal Cause	102
D.	<i>SCG</i> .I.c.42: God without Partners	105
E.	<i>SCG</i> .I.c.54: The Compatibility of Divine Simplicity and Diverse Intelligible Objects	106
F.	<i>SCG</i> .I.c.77: The Compatibility of Divine Simplicity and Multiple Objects of the Will	107
G.	<i>SCG</i> .I.c.90: The Compatibility of Attributes and Divine Perfection	109
4.2.3	Conclusion	109

4.3	The Simplicity and the Trinity of God	111
4.3.1	Preliminary Remarks	111
4.3.2	The Trinity and the Paris Condemnation of 1277	112
4.3.3	An Analysis of Thomas' SCG on the Simplicity and the Trinity	116
	Claim 1: The divine <i>Persons</i> (the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit) are <i>real relative distinctions</i> from one another, but they are <i>not really distinct</i> in the Godhead.	119
	(a) <i>The divine Persons are really distinct from each other but without division: the "Opposed Relations"</i>	120
	(b) <i>The divine Persons are distinct by "personales proprietates"</i>	121
	Claim 2: Each divine <i>Person</i> is <i>really identical</i> with the whole of divine <i>essence</i> , yet we can distinguish the three divine <i>Persons</i> from one another by means of their <i>unique properties</i> .	123
	(a) <i>The fullness of the deity in the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit.</i>	123
	(b) <i>The aseity of the Father, of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit</i>	125
4.3.4	Conclusion	127
5	John Calvin on the Divine Simplicity in relation to God's Attributes and <i>Persons</i> (from selected passages of Calvin's Commentaries in connection with his <i>Institutes</i>)	
5.1	An Overview of the Study	129
5.1.1	An Outline of the Inquiry	130
5.2	Introduction to Calvin's view of Divine Simplicity	131
5.2.1	Textual Considerations: Analysing Calvin's Biblical Commentaries and the <i>Institutes</i>	131
5.2.2	Calvin's Theological Terminology of the Divine Simplicity in its Sixteenth Century Context	132
5.2.3	A Concluding Summary	142
5.3	Divine Simplicity in Calvin's Biblical Commentaries and the <i>Institutes</i>	143
5.3.1	Preliminary Remarks	143
5.3.2	<i>Discourse of the Divine simplicity in Calvin's Expositions (1536-1539): Romans 8:9-11 (1536-1539/1540, 1556)</i>	144
5.3.3	<i>Discourse of the Divine simplicity in Calvin's Expositions (1540-1552):</i>	
	a. Ephesians 4:5-6 (1548/1558-1559)	147
	b. Hebrews 1 and 11:3 (1549/1556)	151
5.3.4	<i>Discourse of the Divine simplicity in Calvin's Expositions (1550-59):</i>	155
	a. The Debates between Calvin and Caroli	156
	b. The Debates between Calvin and Servetus	160
	c. John 1 (1550-1553/1558)	164
	d. Genesis 1 (1550-1553/1554)	170
	e. Matthew 28:19 (1553-1555)	175
5.3.5	<i>Discourse of the Divine simplicity in Calvin's Expositions (1559-1564):</i>	177
	a. Daniel 7:13 (1559-1560/1561)	178
	b. Exodus 3:14 and 34: 6-7 (1559-1562/1563)	180
	c. Ezekiel 1:25-26 (1563-1564/1565)	185
5.4	Conclusion	186

6 The One and Only God

6.1	Preliminary Remarks: A Comparative Study of Four Thinkers with regard to the Paradoxes in the Doctrine of Divine Simplicity	189
6.2	Common Paradoxes and Solutions regarding Divine Simplicity in Christian and Islamic Theologies	191
6.2.1	Objections to Divine Simplicity: Problems with the Plurality of Divine Attributes and Three Divine <i>Persons</i>	191
6.2.2	Divine Simplicity: The One and Only God	193
	Conviction (1): <i>God is the one and only being with all attributes of Perfection</i>	193
	Conviction (2): <i>God is the one and only being without parts</i>	196
	Conviction (3): <i>God is one in single essence (dhāt)</i>	197
	Conviction (4): <i>God is the one and only transcendent being</i>	198
	Conviction (5): <i>God is one in essence and three in divine Persons</i>	199
6.3	Implications for the interfaith dialogue on the Doctrine of Divine Simplicity	203
6.4	Final Conclusion	206
	Summary	207
	Bibliography	213
I.	Primary Sources	213
II.	Secondary Sources	218
	Appendix 1	235